## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOSEPH E. DE RITIS, :

Plaintiff

: CIVIL ACTION

v. : 13-6212

:

THOMAS J. MC GARRIGLE, et al.,

Defendants

**ORDER** 

**AND NOW**, this 24th day of June 2014, upon consideration of Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (Doc. Nos. 8, 9 & 11) and Plaintiff's Responses thereto and for the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- Defendant Roger's Motion is **DENIED** with respect to the First Amendment retaliation, Pennsylvania Whistleblower Law, Wrongful Discharge, and Conspiracy claims;
- 2. Defendant Kenney's Motion is **DENIED** with respect to the Conspiracy claim;
- 3. The Motions are **GRANTED without prejudice** in all other respects;
- 4. Plaintiff may amend his Complaint without leave of Court within **21 days** of the entry of this Order.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

CYNTHIA M. RUFE, J.